

Jan.31, 2017

Test of Higgs boson compositeness in ZH production through gluon-gluon and photon-photon collisions.

F.M. Renard

Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, UMR 5299
Université Montpellier II, Place Eugène Bataillon CC072
F-34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France.

Abstract

In the spirit of the Composite Standard Model (CSM) we look at the effect of H , Z_L compositeness on ZH production in gluon-gluon and photon-photon collisions. Because they would perturb the basic cancellations occurring in SM amplitudes, such compositeness effects would lead to spectacular modifications of the energy dependence of the amplitudes and cross sections. We illustrate this fact by introducing H , Z_L test form factors and computing the corresponding differential cross section and the fraction of longitudinal Z_L production.

PACS numbers: 12.15.-y, 12.60.-i, 14.80.-j; Composite models

1 INTRODUCTION

We have recently considered the possibility of Higgs boson compositeness in a way which preserves the main features of the SM at low energies, in particular those resulting from gauge invariance and Goldstone equivalence; we called it the Composite Standard Model picture (CSM), [1].

The motivation for Higgs boson compositeness can be found in ref.[2] and (possibly for other sectors) in [3], [4], [5]. We had already looked for several tests of Higgs boson compositeness and of fact that the Higgs boson could be a portal to new sectors (possibly involving unvisible states), see ref.[6, 7, 8].

In the CSM spirit, compositeness of the Higgs sector would affect in a similar way all members of the Higgs doublet, i.e. H , $G^\pm$ and G^0 and through equivalence, the W_L , Z_L states. A form factor (similarly to the hadronic case) with a new physics scale M may affect the H , $G^\pm$ and G^0 couplings. Such a form factor should be close to 1 at low q^2 but, after showing some structures around the new physics scale ($q^2 \simeq M^2$), it may decrease at very high q^2 . In a previous paper [1] we have shown that the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ (see [9, 10]) is particularly adequate to the study of such a form factor and will even furnish the basic source of an input for predicting CSM effects in other processes.

In the present paper we consider the processes of ZH production through gluon-gluon and photon-photon collisions. These processes occur at one loop but present a peculiar feature, a cancellation of the contributions of triangle and box diagrams in SM, which could be perturbed by the above mentioned compositeness effects. We will show that, indeed, these processes should be particularly sensitive to the presence of form factors in the H and Z_L couplings.

We will show how the energy and the angular dependences of the cross sections are immediately modified by the presence of a form factor, even when the new physics scale is rather high.

We also show that, in SM and in CSM, the percentage of Z_L polarization in these ZH processes is always very large, this fact allowing a clean study of the Z_L state.

We finally give the result of the addition of similar very heavy quark loops to the SM quark loops (triangle and box). This shows again the large sensitivity of these processes to new physics effects.

Contents: Section 2 is devoted to the detailed study of the SM amplitudes and cross section of the $gg \rightarrow ZH$ process; Section 3 gives the effects of the presence of form factors in the $G^0 Z_L H$ and $ZZ_L H$ couplings and of the addition of a very heavy new quark loop. Summary and conclusions are given in Section 3.

2 THE $gg \rightarrow ZH$ PROCESS

At one loop the $gg \rightarrow ZH$ process is described by 3 types of diagrams shown in Fig.1a,b,c:
 (1a) an initial quark triangle connected to an intermediate G^0 goldstone boson coupled to ZH ,

(1b) a similar one with an intermediate Z boson and

(1c) a set of (direct, crossed and twisted) quark boxes.

With usual SM couplings the resulting helicity amplitudes $F_{\lambda,\lambda',\tau}$ for $\lambda, \lambda' = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ and $\tau = 0, \pm 1$, can be explicitly obtained from the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZH$ case given in eq.(B26) and (B29-35) of [11], by just replacing the photon quark coupling ($-eQ_q$) by the gluon one ($g_s\frac{\lambda^i}{2}$), see [12]. CP conservation impose the relations

$$F_{---} = F_{+++} \quad F_{--0} = -F_{++0} \quad F_{--+} = F_{++-} \quad (1)$$

$$F_{-+-} = F_{+-+} \quad F_{-+0} = -F_{+-0} \quad F_{-++} = F_{+--} \quad (2)$$

Bose statistics imposes in addition the angular constraint

$$F_{\lambda,\lambda',\tau}(\theta) = (-1)^\tau F_{\lambda',\lambda,\tau}(\pi - \theta) \quad (3)$$

The resulting unpolarized $gg \rightarrow ZH$ differential cross section is then obtained

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{p}{512\pi s\sqrt{s}} \sum_{\lambda,\lambda',\tau} |F_{\lambda,\lambda',\tau}|^2 \quad (4)$$

with

$$p = \frac{\sqrt{(s - (m_H + m_Z)^2)(s - (m_H - m_Z)^2)}}{2\sqrt{s}} \quad (5)$$

One will also consider the purely longitudinal Z_L production

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{p}{512\pi s\sqrt{s}} \sum_{\lambda,\lambda',\tau=0} |F_{\lambda,\lambda',\tau}|^2 \quad (6)$$

and the fraction

$$P(Z_L) = \frac{d\sigma_L}{d\cos\theta} / \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} \quad (7)$$

It is now important to see how each diagram contributes and what is the result of their combination. The diagrams (1a,b) only contributes to the $F_{\pm\pm 0}$ amplitudes whereas the diagrams (1c) contribute to all amplitudes. The results for the real and imaginary parts of the amplitudes (at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$) are shown in Fig.2,3. The remarkable high energy features are the fact that the triangle (1a) and the box (1c) contributions to $F_{\pm\pm 0}$ almost cancel and that the (1b) contribution is much weaker. This can be checked by using the high energy expansions of the expressions of the amplitudes in terms of Passarino-Veltman functions

(see [11], [12]).

The (1a) contribution is

$$F_{\pm\pm 0} = \mp \frac{\alpha\alpha_s p s m_t^2 \sqrt{s}}{s_W^2 c_W^2 m_Z^2 (s - m_Z^2)} C_0^t(s) \quad (8)$$

At high energy the leading expression is given by

$$C_0^t(s) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2s} \ln^2 \frac{s}{m_t^2} \quad (9)$$

and it is cancelled by an opposite term coming from the (1c) contribution resulting from the addition of the 3 types of box terms.

This behaviour can be seen in Fig.2,3 where one sees (especially for its imaginary part) that the high value of the $F_{\pm\pm 0}$ amplitudes at low energy is progressively strongly reduced at high energy so that these amplitudes become comparable or smaller than the other ones. Finally the $F_{\pm\mp 0}$ amplitudes are the dominant ones (mainly from their imaginary part).

These results agree with the helicity conservation (HC) rule [13] which predicts the dominance of the $F_{\pm\mp 0}$ amplitudes (exact in MSSM, but only approximate in SM).

The corresponding energy dependence, the angular distribution (illustrated at 1 and 4 TeV) and the fraction of Z_L production, for the SM cross section are shown in Fig.4-7 together with the ones resulting from the CSM cases that we will present in the next section.

After a strong threshold peak (of the top triangles and boxes) around $2m_t$, the SM cross section quickly decreases with the energy. The (symmetrical) angular distribution is rather flat and the Z_L fraction is important, varying between 80 and 100 percent.

All the above features also occur in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZH$. Apart from small leptons and light quarks contributions and the adequate change in the global normalization (replacing gluon by photon couplings), formulas for amplitudes and cross sections are the same.

3 A Compositeness Form Factor

We now want to look for compositeness signals of the Higgs sector in the CSM spirit. This assumes that the basic SM structure is maintained at low energy, no anomalous couplings is introduced. At high energy, composite Higgs and Goldstone bosons couplings will only be affected by form factors revealing their composite nature.

For the considered $gg \rightarrow ZH$ process with the diagrams of Fig.1 we will first consider the effect of form factors occuring in $G^0 Z_L H$ and $ZZ_L H$ couplings.

For the illustrations we will use the following expression

$$F_H(s) = \frac{(m_Z + m_H)^2 + M^2}{s + M^2} \quad (10)$$

where M is a new physics scale (taking the value of 2 or 5 TeV in the illustrations).

The diagrams (1a) and (1b) are directly affected but not the (1c) box. This has an immediate consequence for the cancellation between (1a) and (1c) mentioned in the previous Section for the SM case. The reduction of (1a), whereas (1c) is not affected, immediately leads to an increase of the resulting $F_{\pm\pm 0}$ amplitudes.

The consequences for the observables are illustrated in Fig.4 for the energy dependence of the cross section, in Fig.5,6 for the angular distribution and in Fig.7 for the Z_L fraction. One can see that, even with a high mass scale of 5 TeV, the form factor leads to a strong observable effect.

Effect of Additional Loops

For comparison we now just want to see what could be the effect of additional loops connecting gluons and a composite Higgs sector. For simplicity we consider the loops due to a heavy quark (its mass M_Q is taken as 2 or 5 TeV in the illustrations) with couplings to gluons, Z and H similar to those of the top quark.

This arbitrary choice could correspond to many different possibilities, a new heavy quark sector, Higgs sector constituents, ...

The resulting modifications of the energy and angular distributions and of the Z_L fraction can be seen in Fig.4-7.

For the same reasons as in the case of the simple form factor, the basic SM cancellations being perturbed, an increase of the cross section is immediately generated with threshold effects around $2M_Q$, as one can see in Fig.4.

Obviously, if departures to SM predictions are observed one day, detailed analyses of many different processes would be required in order to identify their origin.

4 CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing, we have shown that the processes $gg \rightarrow ZH$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZH$ would be strongly sensitive to, even very small, Higgs compositeness effects, for example those occurring in the CSM.

We base this conclusion on the computation of the one loop amplitudes and cross sections including the modifications generated by the presence of form factors in $G^0 Z_L H$ and $ZZ_L H$ couplings. Because of strong cancellations occurring among SM contributions, the

smallest modification, for example due to the above mentioned form factor, will immediately produce a very large observable effect.

This is the basic feature of the considered one loop processes.

This type of strong effect is very specific of this peculiar breaking of the SM cancellation. It is stronger than what would appear with the form factor effect at Born level in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ process and in the one loop $gg \rightarrow HH$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow HH$ processes which do not involve this peculiar SM cancellation. It does also not appear in other one loop Higgs production processes, for example in the simple $gg \rightarrow H$ or $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H$ processes.

These strong modifications of the cross section keep the angular distribution rather flat and also the high value of the fraction of longitudinal Z_L production (apart from very local structures appearing when the lack of cancellation is maximal). These features should help for the identification of the process.

We believe that it will be worthwhile to perform detailed experimental and phenomenological studies of these processes, first in hadronic collisions and possibly at future $\gamma\gamma$ colliders [14].

References

- [1] F.M. Renard, arXiv: 1701.04571.
- [2] G. Panico and A. Wulzer, Lect.Notes Phys. **913**,1(2016).
- [3] H. Terazawa, Y. Chikashige and K. Akama, Phys. Rev. **D15**, 480 (1977); for other references see H. Terazawa and M. Yasue, Nonlin.Phenom.Complex Syst. **19**,1(2016); J. Mod. Phys. **5**, 205 (2014).
- [4] B.Patt and F. Wilczek, arXiv: hep-ph/0605188.
- [5] M.E. Peskin, Ann.Phys.(N.Y.)**528**,20(2016). M. Muhlleitner, arXiv:1410.5093. Ben Gripaios, arXiv:1503.02636, arXiv:1506.05039.
- [6] G.J. Gounaris and F.M. Renard, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 053011 (2015).
- [7] G.J. Gounaris and F.M. Renard, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 093018 (2016).
- [8] G.J. Gounaris and F.M. Renard, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 053009 (2016).
- [9] A. Djouadi, arXiv:1505.01059,1511.07853.
- [10] S. Lukic, arXiv:1610.00628.
- [11] G.J. Gounaris, P.I. Porfyriadis and F.M. Renard, Eur. Phys. J. **C20**, 659 (2001);
- [12] G.J. Gounaris, J. Layssac and F.M. Renard, Phys. Rev. **D80**, 013009 (2009).
- [13] G.J. Gounaris and F.M. Renard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 131601 (2005), hep-ph/0501046; Phys. Rev. **D73**, 097301 (2006) , hep-ph/0604041.
- [14] V.I. Telnov, Nucl.Part.Phys.Proc. **273**(2016)219.




Figure 1: The 3 classes of one loop diagrams contributing to the $gg \rightarrow ZH$ process; (c) involving also the twisted box.




Figure 2: Real parts of the 6 independent SM amplitudes.




Figure 3: Imaginary parts of the 6 independent SM amplitudes.




Figure 4: Energy dependence of the differential cross section at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$; in SM; with a form factor and a mass scale of 2 or 5 TeV (FF2, FF5); with, in addition, a heavy quark loop with a mass of 2 or 5 TeV (FFQ2, FFQ5).




Figure 5: Angular dependence of the differential cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 1$ TeV; same notations as in Fig.4.




Figure 6: Angular dependence of the differential cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 4$ TeV; same notations as in Fig.4.




Figure 7: Energy dependence of the fraction of longitudinal Z_L production for $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$; same notations as in Fig.4.